



***Webinar 4: Debrief of the Assessment  
Peer Review Process including Tips &  
Tricks for Submitting Evidence***

**Summer 2021**

**Assessment Team**  
**Office of Elementary and Secondary Education**

**Don Peasley [Donald.Peasley@ed.gov](mailto:Donald.Peasley@ed.gov)**

**Kathleen Banks [Kathleen.Banks@ed.gov](mailto:Kathleen.Banks@ed.gov)**

**Gretchen Guffy [Gretchen.Guffy@ed.gov](mailto:Gretchen.Guffy@ed.gov)**

**Expert Panelists:**

**Tracey Hembry**

**Arthur Thacker**

**Michelle Croft**

---

# Agenda

## Part I: Debrief of Peer Review Exercise - Assessment Team & Experts

1. Overview of the Exercise
2. Peer Review Discussion of Evidence for Critical Element 5.4
3. Questions and Answers

## Part II: Tips and Tricks for Submitting Evidence- Experts

1. Read the Peer Review Guide and Establish Scope of Peer Review
  2. Triage Needs for Evidence
  3. Planning and Developing a Realistic Timeline
  4. Seeking Help
  5. Reviewing the Evidence Prior to Submission
  6. Questions and Answers
-

# PART I: Debrief of Peer Review Exercise

## Review of the Exercise

- Review requirements for critical element 5.4
- Review evidence files for critical element 5.4
- Using the evidence files, prepare a submission Index as you would for an assessment peer review submission in the provided template
- Prepare a brief narrative of how each evidence file addresses critical element 5.4 using the index notes column

Critical Element	Evidence (e.g., relevant document(s), page number(s) and location)	Notes, if applicable
<p>5.4--Monitoring Test Administration for Special Populations</p> <p>The State monitors test administration in its districts and schools to ensure that appropriate assessments, with or without appropriate accommodations, are selected for students with disabilities under IDEA, students covered by Section 504, and English learners so that they are appropriately included in assessments and receive accommodations that are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>→ Consistent with the State's policies for accommodations</li> <li>→ Appropriate for addressing a student's disability or language needs for each assessment administered</li> <li>→ Consistent with accommodations provided to the students during instruction and/or practice</li> <li>→ Consistent with the assessment accommodations identified by a</li> </ul>	<p>5.4</p> <p>DOCUMENT #54 Observations Memorandum</p> <p>DOCUMENT #55 Observation Form</p> <p>DOCUMENT #56 Email to Local Special Education Directors on April 23, 2015 at 12:29 regarding the upcoming PARCC accommodations review</p> <p>DOCUMENT #57 Text-to-Speech Human Read Aloud Monitoring Training Agenda</p> <p>DOCUMENT #58 Text-to-Speech Monitoring Rubric</p>	<p>5.4</p> <p>Maryland sends observers to monitor the test administration process. As part of the observation, IEPs, EL or 504 may also be reviewed to ensure that students were provided with appropriate accommodations as documented in each student's IEP, 504 or EL plan.</p> <p>The MSDE Division of Special Education and Early Intervention Services monitors the selection of the text-to-speech and human read aloud of the PARCC English Language Arts/Literacy Assessments.</p>

Special thanks

to the Maryland  
State  
Department of  
Education for





# PART I: Peer Reviewer Discussion of the Evidence for 5.4

## Today's Peer Review Panel

- Tracey Hembry
- Arthur Thacker
- Michelle Croft

STATE ASSESSMENT PEER REVIEW NOTES FOR August 24 Webinar		
Critical Element 5.4 -- Monitoring Test Administration for Special Populations		
Critical Element	Evidence (Record document and page # for future reference)	Comments/Notes/Questions/Suggestions Regarding State Documentation or Evidence
<p>The State monitors test administration in its districts and schools to ensure that appropriate assessments, with or without accommodations, are selected for all students with disabilities and ELs so that they are appropriately included in assessments and receive accommodations that are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>→ Consistent with the State's policies for <u>accommodations</u>.</li> <li>→ Appropriate for addressing a student's disability or language needs for each assessment <u>administered</u>.</li> <li>→ Consistent with accommodations provided to the students during instruction and/or <u>practice</u>.</li> <li>→ Consistent with the assessment accommodations identified by a student's IEP Team under IDEA, placement team convened under Section 504, or for students covered by Title II of the ADA, the individual or team designated by a district to make these decisions; or another process for an <u>EL</u>.</li> <li>→ Administered with fidelity to test administration <u>procedures</u>.</li> <li>→ Monitored for administrations of all required academic content assessments and AA-AAAS.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Code of Maryland Regulations Achievement Standards</li> <li>• Maryland's Differences Among Assessments <u>Chart</u></li> <li>• PARCC Test Admin Manual Excerpt</li> <li>• PARCC Test Administration Final Report</li> <li>• Maryland Accommodations Manual for ELs Crosswalk to PARCC</li> <li>• Maryland PARCC Spring 2016 State Specific Appendix</li> <li>• Procedures for Conducting a Test Security Investigation</li> <li>• Monitoring Training Agenda</li> <li>• Monitoring Training PowerPoint</li> <li>• Observations Memo</li> <li>• Completed Observation Form Example</li> <li>• Email Upcoming PARCC Accommodations review</li> <li>• Text to Speech Monitoring Rubric example</li> </ul>	<p><u>Overview</u></p> <p>The state has a monitoring program and provides evidence of monitor training. The Monitoring Training PowerPoint focused more on general procedures as opposed to specific information to train monitors in evaluating the student's IEP to determine if the accommodations were consistent. However, the Monitoring Training Agenda indicates that there is additional training related to IEP Testing Accommodations for PARCC and information about document review.</p> <p>The monitors are asked to complete a testing observation form (Completed Observation Form Example). The form includes a section on accommodations. Monitors are asked to review of IEPs of accommodated students to determine match between accommodations provided in instruction and testing. The observation also asked the monitor to describe how the test administrator determined the accommodations were appropriate (e.g., copy of IEP, accommodations summary sheet). Schools were also notified (Observations Memo) that this information may be requested by a monitor.</p> <p>The form and training appear to be focused primarily on students with disabilities. More information about how the observation materials apply to English learners would also be useful.</p> <p>The state also did not provide evidence related to 1) how schools are selected for monitoring or 2) what is done if there is a violation. The redacted observation form is also missing data. It is unclear if and how the state follows up</p>

# Questions for our panel?



# **PART II: Tips and Tricks for Submitting Evidence**

1. Reading peer review guide/establish the scope of the peer review
  2. Triage needs for evidence
  3. Planning and developing a realistic timeline
  4. Seeking help early and often
-



# 5. Reviewing the evidence prior to submission

## Tip: Read the Peer Review Guide and Establish Scope of Peer Review

- New Assessment Submission or Resubmission?
- New submissions—begin thinking about peer review submission BEFORE the first operational administration (see pp. 13-15 of *The Guide*)
- Resubmission—carefully review the most recent ED feedback letter and associated peer review

Exhibit 1: Categories of Changes and Non-Exhaustive Examples of Assessment Peer Review Submission Requirements when a State Makes a Change to a Previously Peer Reviewed State Assessment System

New Assessments	
ESEA requires that submissions address sections 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 of the appropriate critical elements	
Significant	Always significant.
Adjustment	Not applicable.
Inconsequential	Not applicable.

#### Development of a Technology-Based Version of an Assessment

ESEA requires that submissions address critical elements 2.1-2.3, sections 3 and 4 of the appropriate critical elements.

- Assessment delivery is changed from entirely paper-and-pencil to entirely computer-based.
- The new computer-based version of the assessment includes technology-enhanced items that are not available in the

Critical Elements Where Additional Evidence is Needed to Meet the Requirements for Alabama's Use of the ACCESS as an English Language Proficiency (ELP) Assessment

Critical Element	Additional Evidence Needed
1.1 – State Adoption of ELP Standards for All English Learners (ELs)	For the State's ELP standards: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Evidence that Alabama has adopted the most current WIDA ELP standards.</li></ul>
1.2 – Coherent and Progressive ELP Standards that Correspond to the State's Academic Content Standards	For the State's ELP standards: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• For science, evidence that the ELP standards contain language proficiency expectations that reflect the language needed for ELs to acquire and demonstrate their achievement of the knowledge and skills identified in the State's academic content standards appropriate to each grade-level or grade-band.</li><li>• For reading/language arts (RLA) and mathematics, evidence of alignment of its current ELP standards to the State's academic content standards, including a plan to address findings of the previous alignment study.</li></ul>

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Peer Review of State Assessment Systems

April State ELP Assessment Peer Review  
Notes



Critical Element 1.2 – Coherent and Progressive ELP Standards that Correspond to the State's Academic Content Standards	
Critical Element	Evidence (Insert document and page # for future reference)
For ELP standards: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• are derived from the four domains of speaking, listening, reading, and writing;</li></ul>	<b>FOLDER: 1 (1.2-4)</b> <b>Understanding the WIDA English Language Proficiency Standards</b>

(1.2-4)  
The evidence of 1.2-4 is only relevant if Alabama uses the Common Core State Standards for ELA & Math. Once Alabama uses Common Core ELA

notes (remember: ED feedback letter is the official requirement).

## Tip: Triage Needs for Evidence

- Develop an overall logical, coherent plan for the evidence
- Identify the evidence that is easiest to gather (or that can be gathered relatively quickly)
- Identify the evidence that is most difficult to gather (or that will take the longest amount of time)



- Organize and sort all of the remaining evidence between the two extremes above—this should help guide the overall timeline for completion

## **Tip: Planning and Developing a Realistic Timeline**

- New Assessment Submission or Resubmission?
- What procurement/contracting is necessary to complete validation studies or other data collection?
- When does testing vendor/partner complete technical report and other post-administrative analysis?
- Work with ED over any timeline issues



# Tip: Seeking Help—Early and Often

- Internal help: other units within SEA (special education, Title III, legal counsel)?
- External help:
  - Other SEA assessment offices
  - ED staff: utilize early and frequently to help clarify questions (don't “assume”)
  - Testing vendor/partner staff and resources
  - Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)
  - Other external consultants



# Tip: Reviewing the Evidence Prior to Submission

- Is the submission organized and easy to follow (use Index and evidence document naming conventions)?
- Have all requirements within each CE been addressed?
- Is it clear how the evidence relates to the CE (use narrative to make this explicit)?
- Can the information be readily understood by someone who does not work on the assessment?







# Questions?

---

# RESOURCES

- ✦ ED Standards and Assessment Page:  
<https://www2.ed.gov/admins/lead/account/saa.html>
- ✦ Guide to the Assessment Peer Review:  
<https://www2.ed.gov/admins/lead/account/saa/assessmentpeerreview.pdf>
- ✦ 2018 Assessment Seminar Materials and Video:  
<https://apps1.seiservices.com/oss-sapr/Materials.aspx>

- ✦ Includes several sessions on submission planning and best practices

- ✦ Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing-open access downloads: <https://www.testingstandards.net/open-access-files.html>

## A State's Guide to the U.S. Department of Education's Assessment Peer Review Process



U.S. Department of Education  
Office of Elementary and Secondary Education  
Washington, D.C. 20202

June 22, 2018



**STANDARDS**  
*for Educational and Psychological Testing*

# Help Us Improve!!!



✦ After this webinar, you will receive an email with a link to a web-survey that asks for feedback on this webinar series

✦ Please provide us any helpful feedback using this survey (you can give us and our panelists kudos, too! 📧 )



Welcome to GS - Department of Education | Standards and Assessments - Department of Education | OSS State Assessment Peer Review | [SURVEY PREVIEW MODE] Survey

https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/Preview/?sm=mLx8AH\_2BWHBKrPSQDawYb8CDwUL4vAqlu0EFao49HxompsXGpzd667hVHXWRrZ1\_2F

Data Quality - All D... | OSS - Home | Federal Register Pr... | Standards and Asse... | Regulations.gov - D... | MAX Home Page | School Support and...

### Webinar Evaluation

The Office of School Support and Accountability (SSA) at the U.S. Department of Education is committed to providing quality technical assistance (TA) services. Please take a few minutes to provide feedback about your experience to help us improve future webinars.

(Required questions are marked with an asterisks.)

\* 1. Please indicate which of the 2021 assessment peer review webinar(s) you attended (select all that apply). 🗨

☐ Webinar 1: Understanding the Assessment Peer Review Process (July 15)

☐ Webinar 2: Deep Dive into Critical Elements 5.4 and 6.4 (July 29)

☐ Webinar 3: Deep Dive into Critical Elements 2.1 and 3.1 (August 12)

☐ Webinar 4: Debrief of the Assessment Peer Review Process including Tips & Tricks for Submitting Evidence (August 24)

\* 2. Please indicate your agreement with the following statement regarding this webinar: 🗨 1

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
1. The registration and logistics links were clear and easily accessible.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>



