

<https://surveys.ope.ed.gov/erecognition/#/public-documents>.

3. Middle States Commission on Higher Education. Scope of Recognition: The accreditation and preaccreditation (“Candidate status”) of institutions of higher education including distance, correspondence education programs and direct assessment programs offered at those institutions. Recognition extends to the Executive Committee to act on behalf of the Commission as necessary on cases of initial, reaffirmed, and continued candidacy or initial, reaffirmed and continued accreditation. Geographic Area of Accrediting Activities: The United States. The compliance report includes findings of noncompliance with certain criteria in 34 CFR part 602 identified in the May 31, 2023, letter from the SDO following the February 28, 2023 NACIQI meeting. The SDO letter is available under NACIQI meeting date 2/28/2023, at <https://surveys.ope.ed.gov/erecognition/#/public-documents>.

4. New England Commission of Higher Education. Scope of Recognition: The accreditation and pre-accreditation (“Candidate status”) of institutions of higher education including the accreditation of programs offered via distance education and direct assessment within those institutions. Jointly with the Commission, this recognition extends to its Executive Committee and also to the Appeals Body for decisions related to the appeal of denial or withdrawal of candidacy; probation; and denial or withdrawal of accreditation. Geographic Area of Accrediting Activities: The United States. The compliance report includes findings of noncompliance with certain criteria in 34 CFR part 602 identified in the May 31, 2023, letter from the SDO following the February 28, 2023, NACIQI meeting. The SDO letter is available under NACIQI meeting date 2/28/2023, at <https://surveys.ope.ed.gov/erecognition/#/public-documents>.

5. Western Association of Schools and Colleges. Scope of Recognition: The accreditation and preaccreditation (“Candidate for Accreditation”) of institutions of higher education that offer the baccalaureate degree or above, including distance education programs offered at those institutions. Geographic area of accrediting activities: The United States. The compliance report includes findings of noncompliance with certain criteria in 34 CFR part 602 identified in the May 31, 2023, letter from the SDO following the February 28, 2023, NACIQI meeting. The SDO letter is available under NACIQI meeting date 2/28/2023, at <https://surveys.ope.ed.gov/erecognition/#/public-documents>.

Submission of Written Comments Regarding a Specific Accrediting Agency Under Review

Written comments about the recognition of any of the accrediting agencies listed above must be received by May 20, 2024, in the ThirdPartyComments@ed.gov mailbox. Please include in the subject line “Written Comments: (agency name).” The electronic mail (email) must include the name(s), title, organization/affiliation, mailing address, email address, and telephone number of the person(s) making the comment. Comments should be submitted as a PDF, Microsoft Word document or in a medium compatible with Microsoft Word that is attached to an email or provided in the body of an email message. Comments about an agency that has submitted a compliance report scheduled for review by the Department must relate to the criteria for recognition cited in the SDO letter that requested the compliance report following the February 28, 2023, NACIQI meeting, or in the Secretary’s appeal decision, if any. The SDO letters for the specific agencies referenced in this **Federal Register** notice are available under NACIQI meeting date 2/28/2023, at <https://surveys.ope.ed.gov/erecognition/#/public-documents>.

Only written materials submitted by the deadline to the email address listed in this notice, and in accordance with these instructions, become part of the official record concerning agencies scheduled for review and are considered by the Department and NACIQI in their deliberations. Written comments about the specific agencies identified in this **Federal Register** notice that are submitted after the deadline will not be considered by the Department or provided to NACIQI for purposes of the current review. However, comments may be provided orally at the summer 2025 NACIQI meeting, which has not yet been scheduled, but which will be announced in a future **Federal Register** notice.

Electronic Access to this Document: The official version of this document is the document published in the **Federal Register**. Free internet access to the official edition of the **Federal Register** and the Code of Federal Regulations is available via the Federal Digital System at: www.gpo.gov/fdsys. At this site, you can view this document, as well as all other documents of the Department published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF). To use PDF, you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at the site. You may also

access documents of the Department published in the **Federal Register** by using the article search feature at: www.federalregister.gov. Specifically, through the advanced search feature at this site, you can limit your search to documents published by the Department.

Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1099b; 20 U.S.C. 1011c.

Nasser Paydar,

Assistant Secretary for the Office of Postsecondary Education.

[FR Doc. 2024-08770 Filed 4-23-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Application for New Awards; Expanding Opportunity Through Quality Charter Schools Program (CSP)—Grants to State Entities (State Entity)

AGENCY: Office of Elementary and Secondary Education, Department of Education.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Education is issuing a notice inviting applications for fiscal year (FY) 2024 for CSP Grants to State Entities, Assistance Listing Number (ALN) number 84.282A. This notice relates to the approved information collection under OMB control number 1810-0767.

DATES:

Applications Available: April 24, 2024.

Deadline for Transmittal of Applications: June 13, 2024.

Deadline for Intergovernmental Review: August 12, 2024.

ADDRESSES: For the addresses for obtaining and submitting an application, please refer to our Common Instructions for Applicants to Department of Education Discretionary Grant Programs, published in the **Federal Register** on December 7, 2022 (87 FR 75045), and available at <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2022/12/07/2022-26554/common-instructions-for-applicants-to-department-of-education-discretionary-grant-programs>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Adrienne Hawkins, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20202-5970. Telephone: (202) 453-5638. Email: SE_Compensation@ed.gov.

If you are deaf, hard of hearing, or have a speech disability and wish to access telecommunications relay services, please dial 7-1-1.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Full Text of Announcement****I. Funding Opportunity Description**

Purpose of Program: The CSP State Entity program, ALN 84.282A, is authorized under Title IV, Part C of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESEA) (20 U.S.C. 7221–7221j). Through the CSP State Entity competition, the Department awards grants to *State entities* that, in turn, award subgrants to *eligible applicants* for the purpose of opening new *charter schools* and replicating and expanding *high-quality charter schools*. *State entities* also may use grant funds to provide technical assistance to *eligible applicants* and *authorized public chartering agencies* in opening new *charter schools* and replicating and expanding *high-quality charter schools*, and to work with *authorized public chartering agencies* in the *State* to improve authorizing quality, including developing capacity for, and conducting, fiscal oversight and auditing of *charter schools*. State Entity grant funds may also be used for grant administration, which may include technical assistance and monitoring of subgrants for performance and fiscal and regulatory compliance, as required under 2 CFR 200.332(d).

The CSP State Entity program provides financial assistance to *State entities* to support *charter schools* that serve elementary and secondary school students in *States* with a specific *State* statute authorizing the granting of charters to schools. *Charter schools* receiving funds under the CSP State Entity program may also serve students in *early childhood education programs* or postsecondary students.

Background: The major purposes of the CSP are to expand opportunities for all students, particularly traditionally underserved students, to attend high-quality public *charter schools* and meet challenging *State* academic standards; provide financial assistance for the planning, program design, and initial implementation of *charter schools*; increase the number of *high-quality charter schools* available to students across the United States; evaluate the impact of charter schools on student achievement, families, and communities; share best practices between *charter schools* and other public schools; encourage *States* to provide facilities support to *charter schools*; and support efforts to strengthen the *charter school* authorizing process.

“Raise the Bar: Lead the World” (RTB) is the Department’s call to action to all stakeholders to transform pre-kindergarten through postsecondary education and unite around evidence-based strategies that advance educational equity and excellence for all students.¹ When we raise the bar in education, all our Nation’s students will be able to build the skills to thrive inside and outside of school. As part of the RTB initiative, the Department is focusing on six strategies aimed at promoting academic excellence and wellness for every learner and better preparing our Nation for global competitiveness.² This competition advances several RTB strategies, most notably those intended to deliver a comprehensive and rigorous education for every student.

Further, on July 6, 2022 (87 FR 40406), the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of final priorities, requirements, definitions, and selection criteria (2022 NFP). The 2022 NFP supplements the program statute and is intended to help support the creation, replication, and expansion of *high-quality charter schools* that promote positive student outcomes, *educator* and community empowerment, promising practices, and school diversity. The 2022 NFP promotes greater fiscal and operational transparency and accountability for CSP-funded *charter schools*. The application requirements and assurances associated with subgrant monitoring and the review of subgrant applications help facilitate the proper peer review and evaluation of CSP grant applications. The priorities, application requirements, assurances, selection criteria, and definitions in this notice are designed to support access to high-quality, diverse, and equitable learning opportunities, which should be a goal of all public schools.

Priorities: This notice includes one absolute priority, five competitive preference priorities, and one invitational priority. In accordance with 34 CFR 75.105(b)(2)(ii), the absolute priority and competitive preference priorities are from section 4303(g)(2) of the ESEA (20 U.S.C. 7221b(g)(2)).

Absolute Priority: For FY 2024, and any subsequent year in which we make awards from the list of unfunded applications from this competition, this priority is an absolute priority. Under 34

CFR 75.105(c)(3) we consider only applications that meet the absolute priority.

This priority is:
Best Practices for Charter School Authorizers.

To meet this priority, the *State entity* must demonstrate that it has taken steps to ensure that all authorized³ public chartering agencies implement best practices for *charter school* authorizing.

Competitive Preference Priorities: For FY 2024, and any subsequent year in which we make awards from the list of unfunded applications from this competition, these priorities are competitive preference priorities. Under 34 CFR 75.105(c)(2)(i), we award 1 additional point to an application that meets Competitive Preference Priority 1; up to 2 additional points to an application depending on how well it meets Competitive Preference Priority 2; up to 2 additional points to an application depending on how well it meets Competitive Preference Priority 3; up to 2 additional points to an application depending on how well it meets Competitive Preference Priority 4; and up to 3 additional points to an application depending on how well it meets Competitive Preference Priority 5.

An applicant must identify in the abstract form and in the project narrative section of its application the priority or priorities it wishes the Department to consider for purposes of earning competitive preference priority points. The Department will not review or award points for any competitive preference priority that an applicant fails to clearly identify as a competitive preference priority or priorities it wishes the Department to consider for purposes of earning competitive preference priority points. An application may receive a total of up to 10 additional points under the competitive preference priorities.

These priorities are:
Competitive Preference Priority 1—At Least One Authorized Public Chartering Agency Other than a Local Educational Agency, or an Appeals Process (0 or 1 point).

To meet this priority, the *State entity* must demonstrate that it is located in a *State* that—

(a) Allows at least one entity that is not a local educational agency (LEA) to be an *authorized public chartering agency for developers* seeking to open a *charter school* in the *State*; or

(b) In the case of a *State* in which LEAs are the only *authorized public*

¹ www.ed.gov/raisethebar/.

² The six strategies of Raise the Bar include: accelerating learning, developing a well-rounded education, eliminating the *educator* shortage, investing in mental health, ensuring every student has a postsecondary pathway, and a pathway to multilingualism.

³ Although the statute utilizes the term “authorizing,” the term was modified to “authorized” in this notice.

chartering agencies, the *State* has an appeals process for the denial of an application for a *charter school*.

Competitive Preference Priority 2—Equitable Financing (up to 2 points).

To be eligible to receive points under this priority, the *State entity* must demonstrate that it is located in a *State* that ensures equitable financing, as compared to traditional public schools, for *charter schools* and students in a prompt manner.

Competitive Preference Priority 3—Best Practices to Improve Struggling Schools and LEAs (up to 2 points).

To be eligible to receive points under this priority, the *State entity* must demonstrate that it is located in a *State* that uses best practices from *charter schools* to help improve struggling schools and LEAs.

Competitive Preference Priority 4—Charter School Facilities (up to 2 points).

To be eligible to receive points under this priority, the *State entity* must demonstrate that it is located in a *State* that provides *charter schools* one or more of the following:

- (a) Funding for facilities.
- (b) Assistance with facilities acquisition.
- (c) Access to public facilities.
- (d) The ability to share in bonds or mill levies.
- (e) The right of first refusal to purchase public school buildings.
- (f) Low- or no-cost leasing privileges.

Competitive Preference Priority 5—Serving At-Risk Students (up to 3 points).

To be eligible to receive points under this priority, the *State entity* must demonstrate that it supports *charter schools* that serve at-risk students through activities such as dropout prevention, dropout recovery, or comprehensive career counseling services.

Invitational Priority: For FY 2024, and any subsequent year in which we make awards from the list of unfunded applications from this competition, this priority is an invitational priority. Under 34 CFR 75.105(c)(1), we do not give an application that meets this invitational priority a competitive or absolute preference over other applications.

This priority is:

Invitational Priority—Collaborations between Charter Schools and Traditional Public Schools or Districts that Benefit Students and Families across Schools.

(a) The Secretary is interested in funding applications that propose to encourage, but not require, *eligible applicants* for subgrants to propose

projects that include a new collaboration, or the continuation of an existing collaboration, with at least one traditional public school or traditional school district that is designed to benefit students or families served by at least one member of the collaboration, is designed to lead to increased and improved educational opportunities for students served by at least one member of the collaboration, and includes implementation of one or more of the following:

(1) Co-developed or shared curricular and instructional resources or academic course offerings.

(2) Professional development opportunities for teachers and other *educators*, which may include professional learning communities, opportunities for teachers to earn additional certifications, such as in a high-need area or national board certification, and partnerships with *educator* preparation programs to support teaching residencies.

(3) Evidence-based (as defined in section 8101(21) of the ESEA) practices to improve academic performance for underserved students.

(4) Policies and practices to create safe, supportive, and inclusive learning environments, such as systems of positive behavioral intervention and support.

(5) Transparent enrollment and retention practices and processes that include clear and consistent disclosure to families of policies or requirements (e.g., discipline policies, purchasing and wearing specific uniforms and other fees, or family participation), and any services that are or are not provided that could impact a family's ability to enroll or remain enrolled (e.g., transportation services or participation in the National School Lunch Program).

(6) A shared transportation plan and system that reduces transportation costs for members of the collaboration and takes into consideration various transportation options, including public transportation and district-provided or shared transportation options, cost-sharing or free or reduced-cost fare options, and any distance considerations for prioritized bus services.

(7) A shared special education collaborative designed to address a significant barrier or challenge faced by participating *charter schools* and traditional public schools in improving academic or developmental outcomes and services for students with disabilities (as defined in section 8101 of the ESEA).

(8) A shared English learner collaborative designed to address a

significant barrier or challenge faced by participating *charter schools* or traditional public schools in improving academic outcomes for English learners (as defined in section 8101 of the ESEA).

(9) Other collaborations, such as the sharing of innovative and best practices, designed to address a significant barrier or challenge faced by participating *charter schools* or traditional public schools and designed to improve academic outcomes for all students served by members of the collaboration.

(b) The *State entity* certifies that it will ask each *eligible applicant* that proposes a project that includes such a collaboration to—

(1) Provide in its subgrant application a description of the collaboration that—

- (i) Describes each member of the collaboration and whether the collaboration would be a new or existing commitment;

- (ii) States the purpose and duration of the collaboration;

- (iii) Describes the anticipated roles and responsibilities of each member of the collaboration;

- (iv) Describes how the collaboration will benefit one or more members of the collaboration, including how it will benefit students or families affiliated with a member and lead to increased or improved educational opportunities for students, and meet specific and measurable, if applicable, goals;

- (v) Describes the resources members of the collaboration will contribute; and
- (vii) Contains any other relevant information; and

(2) Within 120 days of receiving a subgrant award or within 120 days of the date the collaboration is scheduled to begin, whichever is later, provide evidence of participation in the collaboration (which may include, but is not required to include, a memorandum of understanding).

Application Requirements:

The following application requirements are from section 4303(f) of the ESEA (20 U.S.C. 7221b(f)) and from the 2022 NFP. The Department will not fund an application that does not meet each application requirement.

In addressing the following application requirements, applicants must clearly identify which application requirement they are addressing. An applicant must address application requirements (a)(1)(i), (a)(1)(vii), (a)(1)(ix), (a)(2)(ii), and (a)(2)(iii) in its response to paragraph (a)(1) of the Quality of the Project Design selection criterion; application requirement (a)(8) in its response to paragraph (a)(4) of the Quality of the Project Design selection criterion; application requirements

(a)(1)(ii), (a)(1)(xiii), (a)(3)(i), (a)(3)(ii), (a)(3)(iii), (a)(5), and (a)(7) in its response to the Quality of Eligible Subgrant Applicants selection criterion; application requirements (a)(1)(vi), (a)(1)(x), and (a)(9) in its response to paragraph (c)(1) of the State Plan selection criterion; application requirements (a)(1)(iii), (a)(1)(iv), (a)(1)(viii), and (a)(1)(xi) in its response to paragraph (c)(3) of the State Plan selection criterion; and application requirement (a)(4) in its response to paragraph (d)(1) of the Quality of the Management Plan selection criterion. An applicant must respond to all other application requirements in paragraph (a) that are not listed above in the Project Narrative.

Applications for funding under the CSP State Entity program must contain the following:

(a) Description of Program—A description of the *State entity's* objectives in running a quality *charter school* program and how the objectives of the program will be carried out, including—

(1) A description of how the *State entity* will—

(i) Support the opening of *charter schools* through the startup of new *charter schools* and, if applicable, the replication of *high-quality charter schools*, and the expansion of *high-quality charter schools* (including the proposed number of new charter schools to be opened, *high-quality charter schools* to be opened as a result of the replication of a *high-quality charter school*, or *high-quality charter schools* to be expanded under the *State entity's* program) (4303(f));

(ii) Inform eligible *charter schools*, *developers*, and *authorized public chartering agencies* of the availability of funds under the program (4303(f));

(iii) Work with *eligible applicants* to ensure that the *eligible applicants* access all Federal funds that such applicants are eligible to receive, and help the *charter schools* supported by the applicants and the students attending those *charter schools*—

(A) Participate in the Federal programs in which the schools and students are eligible to participate;

(B) Receive the commensurate share of Federal funds the schools and students are eligible to receive under such programs; and

(C) Meet the needs of students served under such programs, including students with disabilities and English learners (4303(f));

(iv) Ensure that *authorized public chartering agencies*, in collaboration with surrounding LEAs where applicable, establish clear plans and

procedures to assist students enrolled in a *charter school* that closes or loses its charter to attend other high-quality schools (4303(f));

(v) In the case of a *State entity* that is not a *State educational agency* (SEA)—

(A) Work with the SEA and *charter schools* in the *State* to maximize *charter school* participation in Federal and *State* programs for which *charter schools* are eligible; and

(B) Work with the SEA to operate the *State entity's* program under section 4303 of the ESEA, if applicable (4303(f));

(vi) Ensure that each *eligible applicant* that receives a subgrant under the *State entity's* program—

(A) Is using funds provided under this program for one of the activities described in section 4303(b)(1) of the ESEA; and

(B) Is prepared to continue to operate *charter schools* funded under section 4303 of the ESEA in a manner consistent with the *eligible applicant's* application for such subgrant once the subgrant funds under this program are no longer available (4303(f));

(vii) Support—

(A) *Charter schools* in LEAs with a significant number of schools identified by the *State* for comprehensive support and improvement under section 1111(c)(4)(D)(i) of the ESEA; and

(B) The use of *charter schools* to improve struggling schools, or to turn around struggling schools (4303(f));

(viii) Work with *charter schools* on—

(A) Recruitment and enrollment practices to promote inclusion of all students, including by eliminating any barriers to enrollment for *educationally disadvantaged students* (who include foster youth and unaccompanied homeless youth); and

(B) Supporting all students once they are enrolled to promote retention, including by reducing the overuse of discipline practices that remove students from the classroom (4303(f));

(ix) Share best and promising practices between *charter schools* and other public schools (4303(f));

(x) Ensure that *charter schools* receiving funds under the *State entity's* program meet the educational needs of their students, including children with disabilities and English learners (4303(f));

(xi) Support efforts to increase *charter school* quality initiatives, including meeting the quality authorizing elements described in section 4303(f)(2)(E) of the ESEA (4303(f));

(xii)(A) In the case of a *State entity* that is not a *charter school support organization*, a description of how the *State entity* will provide oversight of

authorizing activity, including how the *State* will help ensure better authorizing, such as by establishing authorizing standards that may include approving, monitoring, and re-approving or revoking the authority of an *authorized public chartering agency* based on the performance of the *charter schools* authorized by such agency in the areas of student achievement, student safety, financial and operational management, and compliance with all applicable statutes and regulations; and

(B) In the case of a *State entity* that is a *charter school support organization*, a description of how the *State entity* will work with the *State* to support the *State's* system of technical assistance and oversight of the authorizing activity of *authorized public chartering agencies*, as described in application requirement (a)(1)(xii)(A) (4303(f)); and

(xiii) Work with *eligible applicants* receiving a subgrant under the *State entity's* program to support the opening of new *charter schools* or *charter school* models described in application requirement (a)(1)(i) that are high schools (4303(f));

(2) A description of the extent to which the *State entity*—

(i) Is able to meet and carry out Competitive Preference Priorities 1 through 5;⁴

(ii) Is working to develop or strengthen a cohesive statewide system to support the opening of new *charter schools* and, if applicable, the replication of *high-quality charter schools*, and the expansion of *high-quality charter schools*; and

(iii) Is working to develop or strengthen a cohesive strategy to encourage collaboration between *charter schools* and LEAs on the sharing of best practices (4303(f));

(3) A description of how the *State entity* will award subgrants, on a competitive basis, including—

(i) A detailed description of how the *State entity* will review applications from *eligible applicants*, including—

(A) How eligibility will be determined;

(B) How peer reviewers will be recruited and selected, including efforts the applicant will make to recruit peer reviewers from diverse backgrounds and underrepresented groups;

⁴ In accordance with 34 CFR 75.105(c)(2)(i), applications are not required to address competitive preference priorities but may receive additional points if they do so. However, to meet this application requirement, the *State entity* must describe the extent to which it is able to meet and carry out competitive preference priorities 1 through 5. If the *State entity* is unable to meet and carry out one or more of these competitive preference priorities, the description for that priority should state that the *State entity* is unable to meet or carry out the priority.

(C) How subgrant applications will be reviewed and evaluated;

(D) How cost analyses and budget reviews will be conducted to ensure that costs are necessary, reasonable, and allocable to the subgrant;

(E) How applicants will be assessed for risk (*i.e.*, fiscal, programmatic, compliance); and

(F) How funding decisions will be made (2022 NFP);

(ii) A description of the application each *eligible applicant* desiring to receive a subgrant will be required to submit, which application must include the following:

(A) A description of the roles and responsibilities of *eligible applicants*, partner organizations, and *charter management organizations* (CMO), including the administrative and contractual roles and responsibilities of such partners (4303(f));

(1) For any existing or proposed contract between a *charter school* and a for-profit management organization (including a nonprofit management organization operated by or on behalf of a for-profit entity), without regard to whether the management organization or its related entities exercises full or substantial administrative control over the *charter school* or the CSP project, the applicant must provide the following information or equivalent information that the applicant has submitted to the *authorized public chartering agency*—

(i) A copy of the existing contract with the for-profit management organization or a description of the terms of the contract, including the name and contact information of the management organization; the cost (*i.e.*, fixed costs and estimates of any ongoing costs or fees), including the amount of CSP funds proposed to be used toward such cost, and the percentage such cost represents of the school's overall funding; the duration; roles and responsibilities of the management organization; and steps the applicant will take to ensure that it pays fair market value for any services or other items purchased or leased from the management organization, makes all programmatic decisions, maintains control over all CSP funds, and directly administers or supervises the administration of the grant in accordance with 34 CFR 75.701;

(ii) A description of any business or financial relationship between the *charter school developer* and the management organization, including payments, contract terms, and any property owned, operated, or controlled by the management organization or

related individuals or entities that will be used by the *charter school*;

(iii) The name and contact information for each member of the governing board of the *charter school* and a list of the management organization's officers, chief administrator, or other administrators, and any staff involved in approving or executing the management contract; and a description of any actual or perceived conflicts of interest, including financial interests, and how the applicant resolved or will resolve any actual or perceived conflicts of interest to ensure compliance with 2 CFR 200.318(c);

(iv) A description of how the applicant will ensure that members of the governing board of the *charter school* are not selected, removed, controlled, or employed by the management organization and that the *charter school's* legal, accounting, and auditing services will be procured independently from the management organization;

(v) An explanation of how the applicant will ensure that the management contract is severable, severing the management contract will not cause the proposed *charter school* to close, the duration of the management contract will not extend beyond the expiration date of the school's charter, and renewal of the management contract will not occur without approval and affirmative action by the governing board of the *charter school*; and

(vi) A description of the steps the applicant will take to ensure that it maintains control over all student records and has a process in place to provide those records to another public school or school district in a timely manner upon the transfer of a student from the *charter school* to another public school, including due to closure of the *charter school*, in accordance with section 4308 of the ESEA (2022 NFP).

(B) A description of the quality controls agreed to between the *eligible applicant* and the *authorized public chartering agency* involved, such as a contract or performance agreement; how a school's performance in the *State's* accountability system and impact on student achievement (which may include student academic growth) will be one of the most important factors for renewal or revocation of the school's charter; and how the *State entity* and the *authorized public chartering agency* involved will reserve the right to revoke or not renew a school's charter based on financial, structural, or operational factors involving the management of the school (4303(f));

(C) A description of how the autonomy and flexibility granted to a *charter school* is consistent with the definition of *charter school* in section 4310 of the ESEA (4303(f));

(D) A description of how the *eligible applicant* will solicit and consider input from parents and other members of the community on the implementation and operation of each *charter school* that will receive funds under the *State entity's* program (4303(f));

(E) A description of the *eligible applicant's* planned activities and expenditures of subgrant funds to support opening and preparing for the operation of new *charter schools*, opening and preparing for the operation of replicated *high-quality charter schools*, or expanding *high-quality charter schools*, and how the *eligible applicant* will maintain financial sustainability after the end of the subgrant period (4303(f));

(F) A description of how the *eligible applicant* will support the use of effective parent, family, and community engagement strategies to operate each *charter school* that will receive funds under the *State entity's* program (4303(f)); and

(G) A needs analysis and description of the need for the proposed project, including how the proposed project would serve the interests and meet the needs of students and families in the communities the *charter school* intends to serve. The needs analysis, which may consist of information and documents previously submitted to an *authorized public chartering agency* to address need, must include, but is not necessarily limited to, the following:

(1) Descriptions of the local community support, including information that demonstrates interest in, and need for, the *charter school*; benefits to the community; and other evidence of demand for the *charter school* that demonstrates a strong likelihood the *charter school* will achieve and maintain its enrollment projections. Such information may include information on waiting lists for the proposed *charter school* or existing *charter schools* or traditional public schools, data on access to seats in high-quality public schools in the districts from which the *charter school* expects to draw students, and family interest in specialized instructional approaches proposed to be implemented at the *charter school*.

(2) Information on the proposed *charter school's* projected student enrollment and evidence to support the projected enrollment based on the needs analysis and other relevant data and

factors, such as the methodology and calculations used.

(3) An analysis of the proposed *charter school's* projected student demographics and a description of the demographics of students attending public schools in the local community in which the *charter school* would be located and the school districts from which the students are, or would be, drawn to attend the *charter school*; a description of how the applicant plans to establish and maintain a racially and socio-economically diverse student body, including proposed strategies (that are consistent with applicable legal requirements) to recruit, admit, enroll, and retain a diverse student body. An applicant that is unlikely to establish and maintain a racially and socio-economically diverse student body at the proposed *charter school* because the *charter school* would be located in a racially or socio-economically segregated or isolated community, or due to the *charter school's* specific educational mission, must describe—

(i) Why it is unlikely to be able to establish and maintain a racially and socio-economically diverse student body at the proposed *charter school*;

(ii) How the anticipated racial and socio-economic makeup of the student body would promote the purposes of the CSP to provide high-quality educational opportunities to all students, which may include a specialized educational program or mission; and

(iii) The anticipated impact of the proposed *charter school* on the racial and socio-economic diversity of the public schools and school districts from which students would be drawn to attend the *charter school*.

(4) A robust family and community engagement plan designed to ensure the active participation of families and the community that includes the following:

(i) How families and the community were, are, or will be engaged in determining the vision and design for the *charter school*, including specific examples of how families' and the community's input was, is, or is expected to be incorporated into the vision and design for the *charter school*.

(ii) How the *charter school* will meaningfully engage with both families and the community to create strong and ongoing partnerships.

(iii) How the *charter school* will foster a collaborative culture that involves the families of all students, including underserved students, in ensuring their ongoing input in school decision-making.

(iv) How the *charter school's* recruitment, admissions, enrollment, and retention processes will engage and

accommodate families from various backgrounds, including English learners, students with disabilities, and students of color, including by holding enrollment and recruitment events on weekends or during non-standard work hours, making interpreters available, and providing enrollment and recruitment information in widely accessible formats (e.g., hard copy and online in multiple languages; as appropriate, large print or braille for visually impaired individuals) through widely available and transparent means (e.g., online and at community locations).

(v) How the *charter school* has engaged or will engage families and the community to develop an instructional model to best serve the targeted student population and their families, including students with disabilities and English learners.

(5) How the plans for the operation of the *charter school* will support and reflect the needs of students and families in the community, including consideration of district or *community assets* and how the school's location, or anticipated location if a facility has not been secured, will facilitate access for the targeted student population (e.g., access to public transportation or other transportation options, the demographics of neighborhoods within walking distance of the school, and transportation plans and costs for students who are not able to walk or use public transportation to access the school).

(6) A description of the steps the applicant has taken or will take to ensure that the proposed *charter school* (A) would not hamper, delay, or negatively affect any desegregation efforts in the community in which the *charter school* would be located and the public school districts from which students are, or would be, drawn to attend the *charter school*, including efforts to comply with a court order, statutory obligation, or voluntary efforts to create and maintain desegregated public schools; and (B) to ensure that the proposed *charter school* would not otherwise increase racial or socio-economic segregation or isolation in the schools from which the students are, or would be, drawn to attend the *charter school*. (2022 NFP).

(iii)(A) A description of how the *State entity*, in awarding subgrants to *eligible applicants*, will—

(1) Give priority to *eligible applicants* that propose projects that include the creation, replication, or expansion of a *high-quality charter school* that is developed and implemented—

(i) With meaningful and ongoing engagement with current or former teachers and other *educators*; and

(ii) Using a community-centered approach that includes an assessment of *community assets*, informs the development of the *charter school*, and includes the implementation of protocols and practices designed to ensure that the *charter school* will use and interact with *community assets* on an ongoing basis to create and maintain strong community ties.

(B) In its application, an eligible applicant must provide a high-quality plan that demonstrates how its proposed project would meet the requirements in paragraph (G)(6)(iii)(A)(1) of these application requirements, accompanied by a timeline for key milestones that span the course of planning, development, and implementation of the *charter school*.

(iv) In the case of a *State entity* that partners with an outside organization to carry out the *State entity's* quality *charter school* program, in whole or in part, a description of the roles and responsibilities of the partner (4303(f));

(v) A description of how the *State entity* will ensure that each *charter school* receiving funds under the *State entity's* program has considered and planned for the transportation needs of the school's students (4303(f));

(vi) A description of how the *State* in which the *State entity* is located addresses *charter schools* in the *State's* open meetings and open records laws (4303(f));

(vii) A description of how the *State entity* will support diverse *charter school* models, including models that serve rural communities (4303(f));

(viii) Evidence to support the requested funds and projected enrollment, such as explanations regarding the methodology and calculations (2022 NFP); and

(ix) A description, including a timeline, of how the *State entity* will monitor and report on subgrant performance in accordance with 2 CFR 200.329, and address and mitigate subgrantee risk, including—

(A) How subgrantees will be selected for in-depth monitoring, including factors that indicate higher risk (e.g., *charter schools* that have management contracts with for-profit education management organizations, virtual *charter schools*, and *charter schools* with a history of poor performance);

(B) How identified subgrantee risk will be addressed;

(C) How subgrantee expenditures will be monitored;

(D) How monitors will be trained;

(E) How monitoring findings will be shared with subgrantees;

(F) How corrective action plans will be used to resolve monitoring findings;

(G) How the *State entity* will ensure transparency so that monitoring findings and corrective action plans are available to families and the public; and

(H) How the *State entity* will work with *authorized public chartering agencies* to share information regarding the monitoring of subgrantees, including in areas related to fiscal protocols and organizational governance, for the purpose of reducing the reporting burden on *charter schools* (2022 NFP).

(b) Assurances—Assurances by the *State entity* that—

(1) Each *charter school* receiving funds through the *State entity's* program will have a high degree of autonomy over budget and operations, including autonomy over personnel decisions (4303(f));

(2) The *State entity* will support *charter schools* in meeting the educational needs of their students, including children with disabilities and English learners (4303(f));

(3) The *State entity* will ensure that the *authorized public chartering agency* of any *charter school* that receives funds under the *State entity's* program adequately monitors each *charter school* under the authority of such agency in recruiting, enrolling, retaining, and meeting the needs of all students, including children with disabilities and English learners (4303(f));

(4) The *State entity* will provide adequate technical assistance to *eligible applicants* to meet the objectives described in application requirement (a)(1)(8) (4303(f));

(5) The *State entity* will promote quality authorizing, consistent with *State* law, such as through providing technical assistance to support each *authorized public chartering agency* in the *State* to improve such agency's ability to monitor the *charter schools* authorized by the agency, including by—

(i) Assessing annual performance data of the schools, including, as appropriate, graduation rates, student academic growth, and rates of student attrition;

(ii) Reviewing the schools' independent, annual audits of financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and ensuring that any such audits are publicly reported; and

(iii) Holding *charter schools* accountable to the academic, financial, and operational quality controls agreed to between the *charter school* and the *authorized public chartering agency*

involved, such as renewal, non-renewal, or revocation of the school's charter (4303(f));

(6) The *State entity* will work to ensure that *charter schools* are included with the traditional public schools in decision-making about the public school system in the *State* (4303(f));

(7) The *State entity* will ensure that each *charter school* receiving funds under the *State entity's* program makes publicly available, consistent with the dissemination requirements of the annual *State* report card under section 1111(h) of the ESEA, including on the website of the school, information to help parents make informed decisions about the education options available to their children, including—

(i) Information on the educational program;

(ii) Student support services;

(iii) Parent contract requirements (as applicable), including any financial obligations or fees;

(iv) Enrollment criteria (as applicable); and

(v) Annual performance and enrollment data for each of the subgroups of students, as defined in section 1111(c)(2) of the ESEA, except that such disaggregation of performance and enrollment data shall not be required in a case in which the number of students in a group is insufficient to yield statistically reliable information or the results would reveal personally identifiable information about an individual student (4303(f)).

(8) The *State Entity* will ensure that each *charter school* receiving CSP funding has not and will not enter into a contract with a for-profit management organization, including a nonprofit management organization operated by or on behalf of a for-profit entity, under which the management organization, or its related entities, exercises full or substantial administrative control over the *charter school* and, thereby, the CSP project (2022 NFP).

(9) Each *charter school* receiving CSP funding will provide an assurance that any management contract between the *charter school* and a for-profit management organization, including a nonprofit CMO operated by or on behalf of a for-profit entity, guarantees or will guarantee that—

(i) The *charter school* maintains control over all CSP funds, makes all programmatic decisions, and directly administers or supervises the administration of the subgrant;

(ii) The management organization does not exercise full or substantial administrative control over the *charter school* (and, thereby, the CSP project), except that this does not limit the ability

of a *charter school* to enter into a contract with a management organization for the provision of services that do not constitute full or substantial control of the *charter school* project funded under the CSP (e.g., food services or payroll services) and that otherwise comply with statutory and regulatory requirements;

(iii) The *charter school's* governing board has access to financial and other data pertaining to the *charter school*, the management organization, and any related entities; and

(iv) The *charter school* is in compliance with applicable Federal and *State* laws and regulations governing conflicts of interest, and there are no actual or perceived conflicts of interest between the *charter school* and the management organization (2022 NFP).

(10) Each *charter school* receiving CSP funding will post on its website, on an annual basis, a copy of any management contract between the *charter school* and a for-profit management organization, including a nonprofit management organization operated by or on behalf of a for-profit entity, and report information on such contract to the *State entity*, including—

(i) A copy of the existing contract with the for-profit organization or a detailed description of the terms of the contract, including the name and contact information of the management organization, the cost (i.e., fixed costs and estimates of any ongoing cost), including the amount of CSP funds proposed to be used toward such cost, and the percentage such cost represents of the *charter school's* total funding, the duration, roles and responsibilities of the management organization, and the steps the *charter school* is taking to ensure that it makes all programmatic decisions, maintains control over all CSP funds, and directly administers or supervises the administration of the grant or subgrant in accordance with 34 CFR 76.701;

(ii) A description of any business or financial relationship between the *charter school developer* or CMO and the management organization, including payments, contract terms, and any property owned, operated, or controlled by the management organization or related individuals or entities to be used by the *charter school*;

(iii) The names and contact information for each member of the governing boards of the *charter school* and a list of management organization's officers, chief administrator, and other administrators, and any staff involved in approving or executing the management contract; and a description of any actual or perceived conflicts of interest,

including financial interests, and how the applicant resolved or will resolve any actual or perceived conflicts of interest to ensure compliance with 2 CFR 200.318(c); and

(iv) A description of how the *charter school* ensured that such contract is severable and that a change in management companies will not cause the proposed *charter school* to close (2022 NFP).

(11) Each *charter school* receiving CSP funding will disclose, as part of the enrollment process, any policies and requirements (e.g., purchasing and wearing specific uniforms and other fees, or requirements for family participation), and any services that are or are not provided, that could impact a family's ability to enroll or remain enrolled in the school (e.g., transportation services or participation in the National School Lunch Program) (2022 NFP).

(12) Each *charter school* receiving CSP funding will hold or participate in a public hearing in the local community in which the proposed *charter school* would be located to obtain information and feedback regarding the potential benefit of the *charter school*, which shall at least include information about how the proposed *charter school* will increase the availability of high-quality public school options for underserved students, promote racial and socio-economic diversity in such community or have an educational mission to serve primarily underserved students, and not increase racial or socio-economic segregation or isolation in the school districts from which students would be drawn to attend the *charter school* (consistent with applicable laws). Applicants must ensure that the hearing (and notice thereof) is accessible to individuals with disabilities and limited English proficient individuals as required by law, actively solicit participation in the hearing (i.e., provide widespread and timely notice of the hearing), make good faith efforts to accommodate as many people as possible (e.g., hold the hearing at a convenient time for families or provide virtual participation options), and submit a summary of the comments received as part of the application. The hearing may be conducted as part of the charter authorizing process, provided that it meets the requirements above. (2022 NFP).

(13) No *eligible applicant* receiving funds under the *State entity's* program will use implementation funds for a *charter school* until after the *charter school* has received a charter from an *authorized public chartering agency* and has a contract, lease, mortgage, or other

documentation indicating that it has a facility in which to operate. Consistent with sections 4303(b)(1), 4303(h)(1)(B), and 4310(6) of the ESEA, an *eligible applicant* may use CSP planning funds for post-award planning and design of the educational program of a proposed new or replicated *high-quality charter school* that has not yet opened, which may include hiring and compensating teachers, school leaders, and specialized instructional support personnel; providing training and professional development to staff; and other critical planning activities that need to occur prior to the *charter school* opening when such costs cannot be met from other sources. (2022 NFP).

Note: The Department recognizes that the charter approval process may exceed the 18-month planning period for CSP grants and subgrants, as prescribed under section 4303(d)(1)(B) of the ESEA. In such a case, applicants may request approval from the *State entity* to amend their application to request an extension of the 18-month planning period. Under section 4303(d)(5) of the ESEA, the Secretary, in his discretion, may waive any statutory or regulatory requirement over which he exercises administrative authority, except the requirements related to the definition of "*charter school*" in section 4310(2), provided that the waiver is requested in an approved application and the Secretary determines that granting the waiver will promote the purposes of the CSP. It is also worth noting that a subgrantee may request approval from the *State entity* to amend its approved application and budget to cover additional planning costs that it may incur due to an unexpected delay in the charter approval process.

(14) Within 120 days of the date of any subgrant award notifications, the grantee will post on its website:

(i) A list of the *charter schools* slated to receive CSP funds, including the following for each school:

(A) The name, address, and grades served.

(B) A description of the education model.

(C) If the *charter school* has contracted with a for-profit management organization, the name of the management organization, the amount of CSP funding the management organization will receive from the school, and a description of the services to be provided.

(D) The award amount, including any funding that has been approved for the current year and any additional years of the CSP grant for which the school will receive support.

(E) The grant or subgrant application (redacted as necessary).

(F) The peer review materials, including reviewer comments and scores (redacted as necessary) from the subgrant competition (2022 NFP).

(c) Waivers—Requests for information about waivers, including—

(1) A request and justification for waivers of any Federal statutory or regulatory provisions that the *State entity* believes are necessary for the successful operation of the *charter schools* that will receive funds under the *State entity's* program under section 4303 of the ESEA or, in the case of a *State entity* that is a charter school support organization, a description of how the *State entity* will work with the *State* to request such necessary waivers, where applicable; and

(2) A description of any *State* or local rules, generally applicable to public schools, that will be waived or otherwise not apply to such schools.

Definitions:

The following definitions are from sections 4303(a), 4310, and 8101 of the ESEA (20 U.S.C. 7221b(a), 7221i, and 7801); 34 CFR 77.1; and the 2022 NFP.

Ambitious means promoting continued, meaningful improvement for program participants or for other individuals or entities affected by the grant, or representing a significant advancement in the field of education research, practices, or methodologies. When used to describe a *performance target*, whether a *performance target* is *ambitious* depends upon the context of the relevant performance measure and the baseline for that measure (34 CFR 77.1).

Authorized public chartering agency means an SEA, LEA, or other public entity that has the authority pursuant to *State* law and approved by the Secretary to authorize or approve a *charter school* (section 4310(1) of the ESEA). *Baseline* means the starting point from which performance is measured and targets are set (34 CFR 77.1).

Charter management organization means a nonprofit organization that operates or manages a network of *charter schools* linked by centralized support, operations, and oversight (section 4310(3) of the ESEA).

Charter school means a public school that—

(1) In accordance with a specific *State* statute authorizing the granting of charters to schools, is exempt from significant *State* or local rules that inhibit the flexible operation and management of public schools, but not from any rules relating to the other requirements of this definition;

(2) Is created by a *developer* as a public school, or is adapted by a *developer* from an existing public school, and is operated under public supervision and direction;

(3) Operates in pursuit of a specific set of educational objectives determined by the school's *developer* and agreed to by the *authorized public chartering agency*;

(4) Provides a program of elementary or secondary education, or both;

(5) Is nonsectarian in its programs, admissions policies, employment practices, and all other operations, and is not affiliated with a sectarian school or religious institution;⁵

(6) Does not charge tuition;

(7) Complies with the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101 *et seq.*), section 444 of GEPA (20 U.S.C. 1232g) (commonly referred to as the "Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974"), and part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA);

(8) Is a school to which parents choose to send their children, and that—

(i) Admits students on the basis of a lottery, consistent with section 4303(c)(3)(A) of the ESEA, if more students apply for admission than can be accommodated; or

(ii) In the case of a school that has an affiliated *charter school* (such as a school that is part of the same network of schools), automatically enrolls students who are enrolled in the immediate prior grade level of the affiliated *charter school* and, for any additional student openings or student attrition in student enrollment in the affiliated *charter school* and the enrolling school, admits students on the basis of a lottery as described in paragraph (i);

(9) Agrees to comply with the same Federal and *State* audit requirements as do other elementary schools and secondary schools in the *State*, unless such *State* audit requirements are waived by the *State*;

(10) Meets all applicable Federal, *State*, and local health and safety requirements;

(11) Operates in accordance with *State* law;

(12) Has a written performance contract with the *authorized public chartering agency* in the *State* that includes a description of how student performance will be measured in *charter schools* pursuant to *State* assessments that are required of other schools and pursuant to any other assessments mutually agreeable to the *authorized public chartering agency* and the *charter school*; and

(13) May serve students in *early childhood education programs* or postsecondary students (section 4310(2) of the ESEA).

Note: Pursuant to the definition of *authorized public chartering agency* in section 4310(1) of the ESEA, for a school to qualify as a *charter school* under section 4310(2) and receive Federal CSP funds, the entity that issues the charter or performance contract must be an SEA, LEA, or other *public* entity with authority pursuant to *State* law to approve a *charter school*.

Charter school support organization means a nonprofit, nongovernmental entity that is not an *authorized public chartering agency* and provides, on a statewide basis—

(1) Assistance to *developers* during the planning, program design, and initial implementation of a *charter school*; and

(2) Technical assistance to operating *charter schools* (section 4310(4) of the ESEA).

Child with a disability means—

(1) A child (i) with intellectual disabilities, hearing impairments (including deafness), speech or language impairments, visual impairments (including blindness), serious emotional disturbance (referred to as "emotional disturbance"), orthopedic impairments, autism, traumatic brain injury, other health impairments, or specific learning disabilities; and (ii) who, by reason thereof, needs special education and related services.

(2) For a child aged 3 through 9 (or any subset of that age range, including ages 3 through 5), may, at the discretion of the *State* and the LEA, include a child (i) experiencing developmental delays, as defined by the *State* and as measured by appropriate diagnostic instruments and procedures, in one or more of the following areas: physical development, cognitive development, communication development, social or emotional development, or adaptive development; and (ii) who, by reason thereof, needs special education and related services (section 8101(4) of the ESEA).

Community assets means resources that can be identified and mobilized to improve conditions in the *charter*

school and community. These assets may include—

(1) Human assets, including capacities, skills, knowledge base, and abilities of individuals within a community; and

(2) Social assets, including networks, organizations, businesses, and institutions that exist among and within groups and communities (2022 NFP).

Demonstrates a rationale means a key *project component* included in the project's *logic model* is informed by research or evaluation findings that suggest the *project component* is likely to improve *relevant outcomes* (34 CFR 77.1).

Developer means an individual or group of individuals (including a public or private nonprofit organization), which may include teachers, administrators and other school staff, parents, or other members of the local community in which a *charter school* project will be carried out (section 4310(5) of the ESEA).

Disconnected youth means an individual, between the ages 14 and 24, who may be from a low-income background, experiences homelessness, is in foster care, is involved in the justice system, or is not working or not enrolled in (or at risk of dropping out of) an educational institution (2022 NFP).

Early childhood education program means—

(1) A Head Start program or an Early Head Start program carried out under the Head Start Act (42 U.S.C. 9831 *et seq.*), including a migrant or seasonal Head Start program, an Indian Head Start program, or a Head Start program or an Early Head Start program that also receives *State* funding;

(2) A *State* licensed or regulated child care program; or

(3) A program that (i) serves children from birth through age 6 that addresses the children's cognitive (including language, early literacy, and early mathematics), social, emotional, and physical development; and (ii) is (A) a *State* prekindergarten program, (B) a program authorized under section 619 (20 U.S.C. 1419) or part C of the IDEA, or (C) a program operated by an LEA (section 8101(16) of the ESEA).

Educator means an individual who is an early learning *educator*, teacher, principal or other school or district leader, specialized instructional support personnel (e.g., school psychologist, counselor, school social worker, early intervention service personnel), paraprofessional, or faculty (2022 NFP).

Educationally disadvantaged student means a student in one or more of the categories described in section 1115(c)(2) of the ESEA, which include

⁵ The Department will apply this element of the definition of "charter school" consistent with applicable U.S. Supreme Court precedent, including *Trinity Lutheran Church of Columbia, Inc. v. Comer*, 137 S.Ct. 2012 (2017), *Espinoza v. Montana Department of Revenue*, 140 S.Ct. 2246 (2020), and *Carson v. Makin*, 142 S.Ct. 1987 (2022).

children who are economically disadvantaged, children with disabilities, migrant students, English learners, neglected or delinquent students, homeless students, and students who are in foster care (2022 NFP).

Eligible applicant means a *developer* that has—

(1) Applied to an authorized public chartering authority to operate a *charter school*; and

(2) Provided adequate and timely notice to that authority (section 4310(6) of the ESEA).

English learner, when used with respect to an individual, means an individual—

(1) Who is aged 3 through 21;

(2) Who is enrolled or preparing to enroll in an elementary school or secondary school;

(3)(i) Who was not born in the United States or whose native language is a language other than English;

(ii)(A) Who is a Native American or Alaska Native, or a native resident of the outlying areas; and

(B) Who comes from an environment where a language other than English has had a significant impact on the individual's level of English language proficiency; or

(iii) Who is migratory, whose native language is a language other than English, and who comes from an environment where a language other than English is dominant; and

(4) Whose difficulties in speaking, reading, writing, or understanding the English language may be sufficient to deny the individual—

(i) The ability to meet the challenging *State* academic standards;

(ii) The ability to successfully achieve in classrooms where the language of instruction is English; or

(iii) The opportunity to participate fully in society (section 8101(20) of the ESEA).

Expand, when used with respect to a *high-quality charter school*, means to significantly increase enrollment or add one or more grades to the *high-quality charter school* (section 4310(7) of the ESEA).

High-quality charter school means a *charter school* that—

(1) Shows evidence of strong academic results, which may include strong student academic growth, as determined by a *State*;

(2) Has no significant issues in the areas of student safety, financial and operational management, or statutory or regulatory compliance;

(3) Has demonstrated success in significantly increasing student academic achievement, including

graduation rates where applicable, for all students served by the *charter school*; and

(4) Has demonstrated success in increasing student academic achievement, including graduation rates where applicable, for each of the subgroups of students, as defined in section 1111(c)(2) of the ESEA, except that such demonstration is not required in a case in which the number of students in a group is insufficient to yield statistically reliable information or the results would reveal personally identifiable information about an individual student (section 4310(8) of the ESEA).

Logic model (also referred to as theory of action) means a framework that identifies key *project components* of the proposed project (*i.e.*, the active “ingredients” that are hypothesized to be critical to achieving the *relevant outcomes*) and describes the theoretical and operational relationships among the key *project components* and *relevant outcomes* (34 CFR 77.1).

Parent includes a legal guardian or other person standing in loco parentis (such as a grandparent or stepparent with whom the child lives, or a person who is legally responsible for the child's welfare) (section 8101(38) of the ESEA).

Performance measure means any quantitative indicator, statistic, or metric used to gauge program or project performance (34 CFR 77.1).

Performance target means a level of performance that an applicant would seek to meet during the course of a project or as a result of a project (34 CFR 77.1).

Project component means an activity, strategy, intervention, process, product, practice, or policy included in a project. Evidence may pertain to an individual *project component* or to a combination of *project components* (*e.g.*, training teachers on instructional practices for English learners and follow-on coaching for these teachers) (34 CFR 77.1).

Public as applied to an agency, organization, or institution means that the agency, organization, or institution is under the administrative supervision or control of a government other than the Federal government. (34 CFR 77.1).

Relevant outcome means the student outcome(s) or other outcome(s) the key *project component* is designed to improve, consistent with the specific goals of the program (34 CFR 77.1).

Replicate, when used with respect to a *high-quality charter school*, means to open a new *charter school*, or a new campus of a *high-quality charter school*, based on the educational model of an existing *high-quality charter school*, under an existing charter or an

additional charter, if permitted or required by *State* law (section 4310(9) of the ESEA).

State means each of the 50 *States*, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and each of the outlying areas (section 8101(48) of the ESEA).

State educational agency means the agency primarily responsible for the State supervision of public elementary schools and secondary schools (section 8101(49) of the ESEA).

State entity means—

(1) A *State* educational agency;

(2) A *State charter school* board;

(3) A Governor of a *State*; or

(4) A *charter school support organization* (section 4303(a) of the ESEA).

Underserved student means a student in one or more of the following subgroups:

(1) A student who is living in poverty or is served by schools with high concentrations of students living in poverty.

(2) A student of color.

(3) A student who is a member of a federally recognized Indian Tribe.

(4) An English learner (as defined in section 8101 of the ESEA).

(5) A child or student with a disability (as defined in section 8101 of the ESEA).

(6) A *disconnected youth*.

(7) A migrant student.

(8) A student experiencing homelessness or housing insecurity.

(9) A student who is in foster care.

(10) A pregnant, parenting, or caregiving student.

(11) A student impacted by the justice system, including a formerly incarcerated student.

(12) A student performing significantly below grade level (2022 NFP).

Program Authority: Title IV, part C of the ESEA (20 U.S.C. 7221–7221j).

Note: Projects will be awarded and must be operated in a manner consistent with the nondiscrimination requirements contained in Federal civil rights laws.

Applicable Regulations: (a) The Education Department General Administrative Regulations in 34 CFR parts 75, 76, 77, 79, 81, 82, 84, 97, 98, and 99. (b) The Office of Management and Budget Guidelines to Agencies on Governmentwide Debarment and Suspension (Nonprocurement) in 2 CFR part 180, as adopted and amended as regulations of the Department in 2 CFR part 3485. (c) The Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards in 2 CFR part 200, as

adopted and amended as regulations of the Department in 2 CFR part 3474. (d) The 2022 NFP.

II. Award Information

Type of Award: Discretionary grant.
Estimated Available Funds:

\$46,000,000.

Contingent upon the availability of funds and the quality of applications, we may make additional awards in subsequent years from the list of unfunded applications from this competition.

Estimated Range of Awards:

\$2,000,000 to \$20,000,000 per year.

Estimated Average Size of Awards:

\$8,000,000 per year.

Maximum Award: See section III.4(a) of this notice, *Reasonable and Necessary Costs*, for information regarding the maximum amount of funds that State Entities may award for each *charter school* receiving subgrant funds.

Estimated Number of Awards: 4–6.

Note: The Department is not bound by any estimates in this notice. The estimated range and average size of awards are based on a single 12-month budget period. We may use FY 2024 funds to support multiple 12-month budget periods for one or more grantees.

Project Period: Up to 60 months.

III. Eligibility Information

1. *Eligible Entities:* *State entities* in *States* with a specific *State* statute authorizing the granting of charters to schools.

Under section 4303(e)(1) of the ESEA, no *State entity* may receive a grant under this competition for use in a *State* in which a *State entity* is currently using a CSP State Entity grant. Thus, if multiple *State entities* in a *State* submit applications that receive high enough scores to be recommended for funding under this competition, only the highest scoring application among such *State entities* will be funded. Likewise, *State entities* located in *States* in which a *State entity* has a current CSP State Entity grant that is not in its final budget period (or is in its final budget period, but the grantee plans to request a one-time no-cost extension in accordance with 34 CFR 75.261 and 2 CFR 200.308(e)(2)⁶) (*i.e.*, Alabama, Connecticut, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Illinois,

⁶ Under 34 CFR 75.261, a grantee may extend the project period of an award one time for up to 12 months without the prior approval of the Department if the grantee meets the requirements for extension in 2 CFR 200.308(d)(2), and Department statutes, regulations, and the terms of the award do not prohibit the extension. See also 2 CFR 200.308(e)(2).

Indiana, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Washington, West Virginia, and Wisconsin) are ineligible to apply for a CSP State Entity grant under this competition.

State entities located in *States* in which a *State entity* has a current CSP State Entity grant that is operating under a no-cost extension (*i.e.*, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Delaware, Michigan, New York, North Carolina, and Rhode Island), or that is not operating under a no-cost extension but is in its final budget period and has notified the Department that it does not intend to request a no-cost extension (*i.e.*, none), however, are eligible to apply for a CSP State Entity grant under this competition. The Department will accept applications from current *State entity* grantees located in these *States* as well as from *State entities* located in these *States* that do not have current grants.

Consistent with section 4303(e)(1), if a *State entity* is approved for a new CSP State Entity grant under this competition for use in a *State* in which a *State entity* has a current CSP State Entity grant that is operating under a no-cost extension (or that is in its final budget period and does not request a no-cost extension at least 10 calendar days before the end of the performance period specified in the Federal award in accordance with 2 CFR 200.308(e)(2)), the current *State entity* grantee must (a) obligate all grant funds; (b) complete all grant and subgrant activities; and (c) begin the grant closeout process (*i.e.*, liquidating the grant and not incurring new costs) prior to the expiration date of the no-cost extension (or the end of the performance period for a grantee that is in its final budget period and did not request a no-cost extension). In its application, the *State entity* that is applying for the new award may request a waiver under section 4303(d)(5) of the ESEA to enable it to award a second subgrant within a five-year period to *eligible applicants* that previously received a subgrant from the current *State entity* grantee but will be unable to complete their subgrant activities before the current State Entity grant expires, without requiring the eligible applicant to demonstrate three years of improved educational results as required under section 4303(e)(2) of the ESEA.

State entities in *States* in which an SEA has a current CSP Grant for SEAs (*i.e.*, Ohio) that was awarded under the Elementary and Secondary Education

Act of 1965, as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (*i.e.*, prior to FY 2017), are eligible to apply for a CSP State Entity grant under this competition, as long as no other *State entity* in the *State* has a current CSP State Entity grant that is not in its final budget period nor operating under a no-cost extension.

2.a. *Cost Sharing or Matching:* This program does not require cost sharing or matching.

b. *Indirect Cost Rate Information:* This program uses an unrestricted indirect cost rate. For more information regarding indirect costs, or to obtain a negotiated indirect cost rate, please see www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocfo/intro.html.

c. *Administrative Cost Limitation:* A State Entity receiving a grant under this section shall not reserve more than 3 percent of funds for administrative costs, which may include technical assistance.

3. *Subgrantees:* (a) Under section 4303(b) and (c)(2) of the ESEA, a *State entity* may award subgrants to *eligible applicants* and technical assistance providers.

(b) Under section 4303(d)(2) of the ESEA, when awarding subgrants to *eligible applicants*, a State Entity must use a peer review process to review applications.

Note: An *eligible applicant* (*i.e.*, *charter school developer* or *charter school*) in a *State* in which no *State entity* has an approved grant application under section 4303 of the ESEA may apply for funding directly from the Department under the CSP Grants to *Charter School Developers* for the Opening of New *Charter Schools* and for the Replication and Expansion of *High-Quality Charter Schools (Developer)* (ALN numbers 84.282B and 84.282E) program. Additional information about the CSP *Developer* program is available at <https://oese.ed.gov/offices/office-of-discretionary-grants-support-services/charter-school-programs/charter-schools-program-non-state-educational-agencies-non-sea-planning-program-design-and-initial-implementation-grant/>.

4. *Other:* (a) *Reasonable and Necessary Costs:* The Secretary may elect to impose maximum limits on the amount of subgrant funds that a State Entity may award to an *eligible applicant* per new *charter school* created or replicated, per *charter school* expanded, or per new school seat created.

For this competition, the maximum amount of subgrant funds a State Entity may award to a subgrantee per new *charter school*, replicated *high-quality*

charter school, or expanded *high-quality charter school* over a 5-year subgrant period is \$2,000,000.

Note: Applicants must ensure that all costs included in the proposed budget are necessary and reasonable to meet the goals and objectives of the proposed project. Any costs determined by the Secretary to be unreasonable or unnecessary will be removed from the final approved budget.

(b) *Audits:* (i) A non-Federal entity that expends \$750,000 or more during the non-Federal entity's fiscal year in Federal awards must have a single or program-specific audit conducted for that year in accordance with the provisions of 2 CFR part 200. (2 CFR 200.501(a))

(ii) A non-Federal entity that expends less than \$750,000 during the non-Federal entity's fiscal year in Federal awards is exempt from Federal audit requirements for that year, except as noted in 2 CFR 200.503 (Relation to other audit requirements), but records must be available for review or audit by appropriate officials of the Federal agency, pass-through entity, and Government Accountability Office. (2 CFR 200.501(d)).

IV. Application and Submission Information

1. Application Submission

Instructions: For the addresses for obtaining and submitting an application, please refer to our Common Instructions for Applicants to Department of Education Discretionary Grant Programs, published in the **Federal Register** on December 7, 2022 (87 FR 75045) and available at <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2022/12/07/2022-26554/common-instructions-for-applicants-to-department-of-education-discretionary-grant-programs>.

2. Submission of Proprietary

Information: Given the types of projects that may be proposed in applications for the CSP State Entity grant competition, your application may include business information that you consider proprietary. In 34 CFR 5.11, we define "business information" and describe the process we use in determining whether any of that information is proprietary and, thus, protected from disclosure under Exemption 4 of the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552, as amended).

Because we plan to make successful applications available to the public, you may wish to request confidentiality of business information.

Consistent with Executive Order 12600, please designate in your application any information that you

believe is exempt from disclosure under Exemption 4. In the appropriate Appendix section of your application, under "Other Attachments Form," please list the page number or numbers on which we can find this information. For additional information, please see 34 CFR 5.11(c).

3. *Intergovernmental Review:* This program is subject to Executive Order 12372 and the regulations in 34 CFR part 79. Information about Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs under Executive Order 12372 is in the application package for this competition.

4. *Funding Restrictions:* In accordance with section 4303(c) of the ESEA, a *State entity* receiving a grant under this program shall (a) use not less than 90 percent of the grant funds to award subgrants to *eligible applicants*, in accordance with the quality *charter school* program described in the *State entity's* application pursuant to section 4303(f), for activities related to opening and preparing for the operation of new *charter schools* and replicated *high-quality charter schools*; (b) reserve not less than 7 percent of the grant funds to provide technical assistance to *eligible applicants* and *authorized public chartering agencies* in carrying out such activities, and to work with *authorized public chartering agencies* in the *State* to improve authorizing quality, including developing capacity for, and conducting, fiscal oversight and auditing of *charter schools*; and (c) reserve not more than 3 percent of the grant funds for administrative costs, which may include technical assistance. The *State entity's* application should include a description of the *State entity's* objectives in providing technical assistance to *eligible applicants* and *authorized public chartering agencies* under section 4303(b)(2) of the ESEA, and the activities identified to provide such technical assistance, including any activities related to serving students with disabilities and English learners. A *State entity* may use a grant received under this program to provide technical assistance and to work with *authorized public chartering agencies* to improve authorizing quality under section 4303(b)(2) of the ESEA directly or through grants, contracts, or cooperative agreements.

Limitation on Grants and Subgrants:

Under section 4303(d) of the ESEA, a grant awarded by the Secretary to a *State entity* under this competition shall be for a period of not more than 5 years.

A subgrant awarded by a *State entity* under this program shall be for a period of not more than 5 years, of which an

eligible applicant may use not more than 18 months for planning and program design. An *eligible applicant* may not receive more than one subgrant under this program for each individual charter school for a 5-year period, unless the *eligible applicant* demonstrates to the *State entity* that such individual *charter school* has at least 3 years of improved educational results for students enrolled in such *charter school*, with respect to the elements described in section 4310(8)(A) and (D) of the ESEA.⁷

Other CSP Grants: A *charter school* that previously received funds for opening or preparing to operate a new *charter school*, or replicating or expanding a *high-quality charter school*, under the CSP State Entity program (ALN number 84.282A), the CSP Grants to Charter Management Organizations for the Replication and Expansion of *High-Quality Charter Schools* (CMO) program (ALN number 84.282M), or the CSP *Developer* program (ALN numbers 84.282B and 84.282E) may not use funds under this program to carry out the same or substantially similar activities. However, such *charter school* may be eligible to receive funds under this competition to expand the *charter school* beyond the existing grade levels or student count.

Likewise, a *charter school* that previously was awarded a subgrant by a *State entity* under this program (or the former CSP Grants for State Educational Agencies program) is ineligible to receive funds to carry out the same activities under the CMO program (ALN number 84.282M) or *Developer* program (ALN numbers 84.282B and 84.282E), including for opening or preparing to operate a new *charter school*, or for replication or expansion.

Uses of Subgrant Funds: Under section 4303(b) of the ESEA, *State entities* awarded grants under this competition shall award subgrants to *eligible applicants* to enable such *eligible applicants* to—

(a) Open and prepare for the operation of new *charter schools*;

(b) Open and prepare for the operation of replicated *high-quality charter schools*; or

(c) Expand *high-quality charter schools*.

Under section 4303(h) of the ESEA, an *eligible applicant* receiving a subgrant

⁷ Section 4303(e)(2) of the ESEA prescribes the circumstances under which an *eligible applicant* may be eligible to apply to a *State entity* for a second subgrant for an individual *charter school* for a 5-year period. The *eligible applicant* still would have to meet all program requirements, including the requirements for *replicating* or *expanding* a *high-quality charter school*.

under this program shall use such funds to support activities related to opening and preparing for the operation of new *charter schools* or replicating or expanding *high-quality charter schools*, which shall include one or more of the following:

(a) Preparing teachers, school leaders, and specialized instructional support personnel, including through paying costs associated with—

(i) Providing professional development; and

(ii) Hiring and compensating, during the *eligible applicant's* planning period specified in the application for subgrant funds, one or more of the following:

(A) Teachers.

(B) School leaders.

(C) Specialized instructional support personnel.

(b) Acquiring supplies, training, equipment (including technology), and educational materials (including developing and acquiring instructional materials).

(c) Carrying out necessary renovations to ensure that a new school building complies with applicable statutes and regulations, and minor facilities repairs (excluding construction).

(d) Providing one-time, startup costs associated with providing transportation to students to and from the *charter school*.

(e) Carrying out community engagement activities, which may include paying the cost of student and staff recruitment.

(f) Providing for other appropriate, non-sustained costs related to opening, replicating, or expanding *high-quality charter schools* when such costs cannot be met from other sources.

Diversity of Projects: Per section 4303(d)(4) of the ESEA, each *State entity* awarding subgrants under this competition shall award subgrants in a manner that, to the extent practicable and applicable, ensures that such subgrants—

(a) Are distributed throughout different areas, including urban, suburban, and rural areas; and

(b) Will assist *charter schools* representing a variety of educational approaches.

Award Basis: In determining whether to approve a grant award and the amount of such award, the Department will consider, among other things, the applicant's performance and use of funds under a previous or existing award under any Department program (34 CFR 75.217(d)(3)(ii) and 233(b)). In assessing the applicant's performance and use of funds under a previous or existing award, the Secretary will consider, among other things, the

outcomes the applicant has achieved and the results of any Departmental grant monitoring, including the applicant's progress in remedying any deficiencies identified in such monitoring.

We reference additional regulations outlining funding restrictions in the *Applicable Regulations* section of this notice.

5. **Recommended Page Limit and English Language Requirement:** The application narrative (Part III of the application) is where you, the applicant, address the priorities, selection criteria, and application requirements that reviewers use to evaluate your application. We recommend that you (1) limit the application narrative to no more than 60 pages and (2) use the following standards:

- A "page" is 8.5" x 11", on one side only, with 1" margins at the top, bottom, and both sides.

- Double-space (no more than three lines per vertical inch) all text in the application narrative, including titles, headings, footnotes, quotations, references, and captions, as well as all text in charts, tables, figures, and graphs.

- Use a font that is either 12 point or larger or no smaller than 10 pitch (characters per inch).

- Use one of the following fonts: Times New Roman, Courier, Courier New, or Arial.

Applications must be in English, and peer reviewers will only consider supporting documents submitted with the application that are in English.

The recommended page limit does not apply to Part I, the cover sheet; Part II, the budget section, including the narrative budget justification; Part IV, the assurances and certifications; or the one-page abstract, the resumes, the bibliography, or the letters of support. However, the recommended page limit does apply to all of the application narrative.

6. **Pre-Application Webinar**

Information: The Department will hold a pre-application meeting via webinar designed to provide technical assistance to interested applicants. Detailed information regarding this webinar will be provided at <https://oese.ed.gov/offices/office-of-discretionary-grants-support-services/charter-school-programs/state-entities/application-info-and-eligibility/>. There is no registration fee for attending this meeting.

For further information about the pre-application meeting, contact Adrienne Hawkins, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW, Washington,

DC 20202–5970. Telephone: (202) 453–4538. Email: SE_Competition@ed.gov.

V. **Application Review Information**

1. **Selection Criteria:** The selection criteria for this competition are from section 4303(g)(1) of the ESEA (20 U.S.C. 7221b(g)(1)), the 2022 NFP, and 34 CFR 75.210. The maximum possible total score an application can receive for addressing the criteria is 100 points. The maximum possible score for addressing each criterion is indicated in parentheses following the criterion.

(a) **Quality of the Project Design (up to 35 points):** The Secretary considers the quality of the design of the proposed project. In determining the quality of the design of the proposed project, the Secretary considers:

(1) The extent to which the proposed project *demonstrates a rationale* (34 CFR 75.210(c)(2)(xxix)) (up to 5 points);

(2) The extent to which the methods of evaluation include the use of objective *performance measures* that are clearly related to the intended outcomes of the project and will produce both quantitative and qualitative data to the extent possible (34 CFR 75.210(h)(2)(iv)) (up to 5 points);

(3) The *ambitiousness* of the *State entity's* objectives for the quality *charter school* program carried out under the CSP State Entity program (section 4303(g)(1)(B) of the ESEA (20 U.S.C. 7221b(g)(1)(B))) (up to 5 points);

(4) The extent to which the projected number of subgrant awards for each grant project year is supported by evidence of demand and need, and the extent to which the proposed average subgrant award amount is supported by evidence of the need of applicants (2022 NFP) (up to 20 points).

(b) **Quality of Eligible Applicants Receiving Subgrants (up to 15 points):** The likelihood that the *eligible applicants* receiving subgrants under the program will meet the *State entity's* objectives for the quality *charter school* program and improve educational results for students (section 4303(g)(1)(C) (20 U.S.C. 7221b(g)(1)(C))).

(c) **State Plan (up to 35 points):** The *State entity's* plan to—

(1) Adequately monitor the *eligible applicants* receiving subgrants under the *State entity's* program (section 4303(g)(1)(D)(i) (20 U.S.C. 7221b(g)(1)(D)(i))) (up to 10 points);

(2) Work with the *authorized public chartering agencies* involved to avoid duplication of work for the *charter schools* and *authorized public chartering agencies* (section 4303(g)(1)(D)(ii) (20 U.S.C. 7221b(g)(1)(D)(ii))) (up to 5 points);

(3) Provide technical assistance and support for—

(i) The *eligible applicants* receiving subgrants under the *State entity's* program; and

(ii) Quality authorizing efforts in the State (section 4303(g)(1)(D)(iii) of ESEA (20 U.S.C. 7221b(g)(1)(D)(iii))) (up to 10 points);

(4) The *State entity's* plan to solicit and consider input from parents and other members of the community on the implementation and operation of *charter schools* in the State (section 4303(g)(1)(E) of ESEA (20 U.S.C. 7221b(g)(1)(E))) (up to 5 points); and

(5) The degree of flexibility afforded by the State's *charter school* law and how the *State entity* will work to maximize the flexibility provided to *charter schools* under such law (section 4303(g)(1)(A) of ESEA (20 U.S.C. 7221b(g)(1)(A))) (up to 5 points).

(d) *Quality of the Management Plan* (up to 15 points). The Secretary considers the quality of the management plan for the proposed project. In determining the quality of the management plan for the proposed project, the Secretary considers:

(1) The adequacy of the management plan to achieve the objectives of the proposed project on time and within budget, including clearly defined responsibilities, timelines, and milestones for accomplishing project tasks (34 CFR 75.210(g)(2)(i)) (up to 10 points);

(2) The adequacy of procedures for ensuring feedback and continuous improvement in the operation of the proposed project (34 CFR 75.210(g)(2)(ii)) (up to 3 points); and

(3) The extent to which the time commitments of the project director and principal investigator and other key project personnel are appropriate and adequate to meet the objectives of the proposed project (34 CFR 75.210(g)(2)(iv)) (up to 2 points).

2. *Review and Selection Process*: We remind potential applicants that in reviewing applications in any discretionary grant competition, the Secretary may consider, under 34 CFR 75.217(d)(3), the past performance of the applicant in carrying out a previous award, such as the applicant's use of funds, achievement of project objectives, and compliance with grant conditions. The Secretary may also consider whether the applicant failed to submit a timely performance report or submitted a report of unacceptable quality.

In addition, in making a competitive grant award, the Secretary requires various assurances, including those applicable to Federal civil rights laws

that prohibit discrimination in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance from the Department (34 CFR 100.4, 104.5, 106.4, 108.8, and 110.23).

3. *Risk Assessment and Specific Conditions*: Consistent with 2 CFR 200.206, before awarding grants under this competition, the Department conducts a review of the risks posed by applicants. Under 2 CFR 200.208, the Secretary may impose specific conditions and, under 2 CFR 3474.10, in appropriate circumstances, high-risk conditions on a grant if the applicant or grantee is not financially stable; has a history of unsatisfactory performance; has a financial or other management system that does not meet the standards in 2 CFR part 200, subpart D; has not fulfilled the conditions of a prior grant; or is otherwise not responsible.

4. *Integrity and Performance System*: If you are selected under this competition to receive an award that over the course of the project period may exceed the simplified acquisition threshold (currently \$250,000), under 2 CFR 200.206(a)(2) we must make a judgment about your integrity, business ethics, and record of performance under Federal awards—that is, the risk posed by you as an applicant—before we make an award. In doing so, we must consider any information about you that is in the integrity and performance system (currently referred to as the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS)), accessible through the System for Award Management. You may review and comment on any information about yourself that a Federal agency previously entered and that is currently in FAPIIS.

Please note that, if the total value of your currently active grants, cooperative agreements, and procurement contracts from the Federal Government exceeds \$10,000,000, the reporting requirements in 2 CFR part 200, Appendix XII, require you to report certain integrity information to FAPIIS semiannually. Please review the requirements in 2 CFR part 200, Appendix XII, if this grant plus all the other Federal funds you receive exceed \$10,000,000.

5. *In General*: In accordance with the Office of Management and Budget's guidance located at 2 CFR part 200, all applicable Federal laws, and relevant Executive guidance, the Department will review and consider applications for funding pursuant to this notice inviting applications in accordance with—

(a) Selecting recipients most likely to be successful in delivering results based on the program objectives through an

objective process of evaluating Federal award applications (2 CFR 200.205);

(b) Prohibiting the purchase of certain telecommunication and video surveillance services or equipment in alignment with section 889 of the National Defense Authorization Act of 2019 (Pub. L. 115–232) (2 CFR 200.216);

(c) Providing a preference, to the extent permitted by law, to maximize use of goods, products, and materials produced in the United States (2 CFR 200.322); and

(d) Terminating agreements in whole or in part to the greatest extent authorized by law if an award no longer effectuates the program goals or agency priorities (2 CFR 200.340).

VI. Award Administration Information

1. *Award Notices*: If your application is successful, we notify your U.S. Representative and U.S. Senators and send you a Grant Award Notification (GAN); or we may send you an email containing a link to access an electronic version of your GAN. We may notify you informally, also.

If your application is not evaluated or not selected for funding, we notify you.

2. *Administrative and National Policy Requirements*: We identify administrative and national policy requirements in the application package and reference these and other requirements in the *Applicable Regulations* section of this notice.

We reference the regulations outlining the terms and conditions of an award in the *Applicable Regulations* section of this notice and include these and other specific conditions in the GAN. The GAN also incorporates your approved application as part of your binding commitments under the grant.

3. *Open Licensing Requirements*: Unless an exception applies, if you are awarded a grant under this competition, you will be required to openly license to the public grant deliverables created in whole, or in part, with Department grant funds. When the deliverable consists of modifications to pre-existing works, the license extends only to those modifications that can be separately identified and only to the extent that open licensing is permitted under the terms of any licenses or other legal restrictions on the use of pre-existing works. Additionally, a grantee or subgrantee that is awarded competitive grant funds must have a plan to disseminate these public grant deliverables. This dissemination plan can be developed and submitted after your application has been reviewed and selected for funding. For additional information on the open licensing

requirements, please refer to 2 CFR 3474.20.

4. *Reporting*: (a) If you apply for a grant under this competition, you must ensure that you have in place the necessary processes and systems to comply with the reporting requirements in 2 CFR part 170 should you receive funding under the competition. This does not apply if you have an exception under 2 CFR 170.110(b).

(b) At the end of your project period, you must submit a final performance report, including financial information, as directed by the Secretary. If you receive a multiyear award, you must submit an annual performance report that provides the most current performance and financial expenditure information as directed by the Secretary under 34 CFR 75.118, including a description of the *State entity's* objectives in providing technical assistance to *eligible applicants* and *authorized public chartering agencies* under section 4303(b)(2) of the ESEA, and the activities identified to provide such technical assistance, including any activities related to serving students with disabilities and English learners; and the impact of the *State entity's* actions or, if no known impact, an explanation of why. The Secretary may also require more frequent performance reports under 34 CFR 75.720(c). For specific requirements on reporting, please go to www.ed.gov/fund/grant/apply/appforms/appforms.html.

(c) In accordance with section 4303(i) of the ESEA, each *State entity* receiving a grant under this section must submit to the Secretary, at the end of the third year of the 5-year grant period (or at the end of the second year if the grant period is less than 5 years), and at the end of such grant period, a report that includes the following:

(1) The number of students served by each subgrant awarded under this section and, if applicable, the number of new students served during each year of the period of the subgrant.

(2) A description of how the *State entity* met the objectives of the quality *charter school* program described in the *State entity's* application, including—

(A) How the *State entity* met the objective of sharing best and promising practices as outlined in section 4303(f)(1)(A)(ix) of the ESEA in areas such as instruction, professional development, curricula development, and operations between *charter schools* and other public schools; and

(B) If known, the extent to which such practices were adopted and implemented by such other public schools.

(3) The number and amount of subgrants awarded under this program to carry out activities described in section 4303(b)(1)(A) through (C) of the ESEA.

(4) A description of—

(A) How the *State entity* complied with, and ensured that *eligible applicants* complied with, the assurances included in the *State entity's* application; and

(B) How the *State entity* worked with *authorized public chartering agencies*, and how the agencies worked with the management company or leadership of the schools that received subgrant funds under this program, if applicable.

(d) Under 34 CFR 75.250(b), the Secretary may provide a grantee with additional funding for data collection, analysis, and reporting. In this case, the Secretary establishes a data collection period.

5. *Performance Measures*: For the purposes of reporting under 34 CFR 75.110: (a) The Secretary has established two performance indicators to measure annual progress toward achieving the purposes of the program, which are discussed elsewhere in this notice. The performance indicators are (1) the number of *charter schools* in operation around the Nation; and (2) the percentage of fourth- and eighth-grade *charter school* students who are achieving at or above the proficient level on *State* assessments in mathematics and reading/language arts. Additionally, the Secretary has established the following measure to examine the efficiency of the CSP: the Federal cost per student in implementing a successful school (defined as a school in operation for 3 or more consecutive years).

(b) *Project-Specific Performance Measures*. Applicants must propose project-specific *performance measures* and *performance targets* consistent with the objectives of the proposed project. Applications must provide the following information as directed under 34 CFR 75.110(b) and (c).

(1) *Performance measures*. How each proposed *performance measure* would accurately measure the performance of the project and how the proposed *performance measure* would be consistent with the *performance measures* established for the program funding the competition.

(2) *Baseline data*. (i) Why each proposed *baseline* is valid; or (ii) if the applicant has determined that there are no established *baseline* data for a particular *performance measure*, an explanation of why there is no established *baseline* and of how and when, during the project period, the

applicant would establish a valid *baseline* for the *performance measure*.

(3) *Performance targets*. Why each proposed *performance target* is *ambitious* yet achievable compared to the *baseline* for the *performance measure* and when, during the project period, the applicant would meet the *performance target(s)*.

(4) *Data collection and reporting*. (i) The data collection and reporting methods the applicant would use and why those methods are likely to yield reliable, valid, and meaningful performance data; and (ii) the applicant's capacity to collect and report reliable, valid, and meaningful performance data, as evidenced by high-quality data collection, analysis, and reporting in other projects or research.

All grantees must submit an annual performance report with information that is responsive to these *performance measures*.

6. *Continuation Awards*: In making a continuation award under 34 CFR 75.253, the Secretary considers, among other things, whether a grantee has made substantial progress in achieving the goals and objectives of the project; whether the grantee has expended funds in a manner that is consistent with its approved application and budget; and, if the Secretary has established *performance measurement* requirements, whether the grantee has made substantial progress in achieving the *performance targets* in the grantee's approved application.

In making a continuation award, the Secretary also considers whether the grantee is operating in compliance with the assurances in its approved application, including those applicable to Federal civil rights laws that prohibit discrimination in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance from the Department (34 CFR 100.4, 104.5, 106.4, 108.8, and 110.23).

7. *Project Directors' Meeting*: Applicants approved for funding under this competition must attend a meeting for project directors at a location to be determined in the continental United States during each year of the project. Applicants may include, if applicable, the cost of attending this meeting in their proposed budgets as allowable administrative costs.

8. *Technical Assistance*: Applicants approved for funding under this competition will be required to participate in all general and certain specified technical assistance offerings, to include but not limited to, project directors' meetings and other on-site gatherings sponsored by the Department and its contracted technical assistance

providers and partners throughout the life of the grant.

VII. Other Information

Accessible Format: On request to the program contact person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**, individuals with disabilities can obtain this document and a copy of the application package in an accessible format. The Department will provide the requestor with an accessible format that may include Rich Text Format (RTF) or text format (txt), a thumb drive, an MP3 file, braille, large print, audiotape, or compact disc, or other accessible format.

Electronic Access to This Document: The official version of this document is the document published in the **Federal Register**. You may access the official edition of the **Federal Register** and the Code of Federal Regulations at: www.govinfo.gov. At this site, you can view this document, as well as all other documents of this Department published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Portable Document Format (PDF). To use PDF, you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at the site.

You may also access documents of the Department published in the **Federal Register** by using the article search feature at www.federalregister.gov. Specifically, through the advanced search feature at this site, you can limit your search to documents published by the Department.

Adam Schott,

*Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary
Delegated the Authority to Perform the
Functions and Duties of the Assistant
Secretary, Office of Elementary and
Secondary Education.*

[FR Doc. 2024-08730 Filed 4-23-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

[Docket No.: Docket Search Results ED-2024-SCC-0061]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request; Federal Perkins/NDSL Loan Assignment Form

AGENCY: Federal Student Aid (FSA),
Department of Education (ED).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, the Department is proposing an extension without change of a currently approved information collection request (ICR).

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before June 24, 2024.

ADDRESSES: To access and review all the documents related to the information collection listed in this notice, please use <https://www.regulations.gov> by searching the Docket ID number Docket Search Results ED-2024-SCC-0061. Comments submitted in response to this notice should be submitted electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <https://www.regulations.gov> by selecting the Docket ID number or via postal mail, commercial delivery, or hand delivery. If the regulations.gov site is not available to the public for any reason, the Department will temporarily accept comments at ICDocketMgr@ed.gov. Please include the docket ID number and the title of the information collection request when requesting documents or submitting comments. Please note that comments submitted after the comment period will not be accepted. Written requests for information or comments submitted by postal mail or delivery should be addressed to the Manager of the Strategic Collections and Clearance Governance and Strategy Division, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Ave, SW, LBJ, Room 6W203, Washington, DC 20202-8240.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For specific questions related to collection activities, please contact Beth Grebeldinger, (202) 377-4018.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department, in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)), provides the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps the Department assess the impact of its information collection requirements and minimize the public's reporting burden. It also helps the public understand the Department's information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format. The Department is soliciting comments on the proposed information collection request (ICR) that is described below. The Department is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how

might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology. Please note that written comments received in response to this notice will be considered public records.

Title of Collection: Federal Perkins/NDSL Loan Assignment Form.

OMB Control Number: 1845-0048.

Type of Review: Extension without change of a currently approved ICR.

Respondents/Affected Public: Private Sector; State, Local, and Tribal Governments.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 144,114.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours: 72,058.

Abstract: The U.S. Department of Education (the Department) is authorized to accept Federal Perkins Loan (Perkins Loan) Program assignments under section 463(a)(5) of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended. Institutions participating in the Perkins Loan program, including loans made under the National Direct/Defense Student Loan Program (NDSL), use the form (OMB Control Number 1845-0048) to assign loans to the Department for collection without recompense. This request is for approval of the assignment form which allows for assignment of Perkins Loans either individually or in a batch format, utilizing either the paper based or electronic filing format.

An institution may use the form to assign one or more loans to the Department at any time throughout the year. Some conditions under which an institution could utilize the assignment form include defaulted loans, total permanent disability discharges, voluntary withdrawal from the program, termination from the program, closure of the institution and liquidation of its Perkins Loan portfolio.

The Department is requesting an extension of the currently approved collection. There has been no change to the form. There has been a change in the number of respondents, responses, and burden hours.

Dated: April 18, 2024.

Kun Mullan,

*PRA Coordinator, Strategic Collections and
Clearance Governance and Strategy Division,
Office of Chief Data Officer, Office of
Planning, Evaluation and Policy
Development.*

[FR Doc. 2024-08703 Filed 4-23-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P