

Archived Information

TECHNICAL REVIEW FORM
FIELD-INITIATED STUDIES RESEARCH GRANT PROGRAM
NATIONAL INSTITUTE ON THE EDUCATION OF AT RISK STUDENTS
OFFICE OF EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH ANDIMPROVEMENT
(CFDA: 84.306F)--F 1997

REVIEWER SIGNATURE PAGE

APPLICANT ORGANIZATION _____

APPLICATION # 306F7 _____

Reviewer Name: _____

Reviewer Signature: _____ Date: _____

TECHNICAL REVIEW FORM
FIELD-INITIATED STUDIES RESEARCH GRANT PROGRAM
NATIONAL INSTITUTE ON THE EDUCATION OF AT RISK STUDENTS
OFFICE OF EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH ANDIMPROVEMENT
(CFDA: 84.306F)--FY 1997

INITIAL SCORESHEET - TO BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO REVIEW PANEL MEETING

APPLICANT ORGANIZATION _____

APPLICATION # 306F7 _____

<u>SELECTION CRITERIA</u>	<u>MAXIMUM POINTS</u>	<u>POINTS</u>
1. National Significance	30	
2. Quality of Project Design	30	
3. Quality of Potential Contributions of Personnel	15	
4. Adequacy of Resources	15	
5. Quality of Management Plan	10	
TOTAL	100	

Based on an overall assessment of this application, please check your recommendation:

_____ Highly Recommended for Funding

_____ Recommended for Funding

_____ Not Recommended for Funding

TECHNICAL REVIEW FORM
FIELD-INITIATED STUDIES RESEARCH GRANT PROGRAM
NATIONAL INSTITUTE ON THE EDUCATION OF AT RISK STUDENTS
OFFICE OF EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH ANDIMPROVEMENT
(CFDA: 84.306F)--FY 1997

APPLICANT ORGANIZATION _____

APPLICATION # 306F7 _____

<u>SELECTION CRITERIA</u>	<u>MAXIMUM POINTS</u>	<u>POINTS</u>
1. National Significance	30	
2. Quality of Project Design	30	
3. Quality of Potential Contributions of Personnel	15	
4. Adequacy of Resources	15	
5. Quality of Management Plan	10	
TOTAL	100	

Based on an overall assessment of this application, please check your recommendation:

_____ Highly Recommended for Funding

_____ Recommended for Funding

_____ Not Recommended for Funding

1. **National Significance.** (30 points)

The secretary considers the national significance of the proposed project.

In determining the national significance of the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors-

- (A) The importance of the problem or issue to be addressed.
- (B) The potential contribution of the project to increased knowledge or understanding of educational problems, issues, or effective strategies.
- (C) The potential contribution of the project to the development and advancement of the theory and knowledge in the field of study.

STRENGTHS:

Maximum points 30

(excellent) 26-30

(good) 21-25

(fair) 16-20

(poor) 1-15

(missing) 0

SCORE _____

WEAKNESSES:

2. Quality of Project Design. (30 points)

The secretary considers the quality of the design of the proposed project.

In determining the quality of the design of the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors-

(A) Whether the goals, objective, and outcomes to be achieved by the project are clearly specified and measurable.

(B) Whether a specific research design has been proposed and the quality and appropriateness of that design, including the scientific rigor of the studies involved.

STRENGTHS:

Maximum points 30

(excellent) 26-30

(good) 21-25

(fair) 16-20

(poor) 1-15

(missing) 0

SCORE _____

WEAKNESSES:

3. Quality and Potential Contributions of Personnel. (15 points)

The secretary considers the quality and potential contributions of the design of the proposed project.

In determining the quality and potential contributions of the design of the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors-

(A) The qualifications, including training and experience, of the project director or principal investigator.

(B) The qualifications, including training and experience, of key project personnel.

STRENGTHS:

Maximum points 15

(excellent) 14-15

(good) 11-13

(fair) 8-10

(poor) 1-7

(missing) 0

SCORE _____

WEAKNESSES:

4. Adequacy of Resources (15 points)

The secretary considers the adequacy of the resources for the proposed project.

In determining the adequacy of the resources for the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors-

(A) Whether the budget is adequate to support the project.

(B) Whether the costs are reasonable in relation to the objectives, design, and potential significance of the project.

STRENGTHS:

Maximum points 15

(excellent) 14-15

(good) 11-13

(fair) 8-10

(poor) 1-7

(missing) 0

SCORE _____

WEAKNESSES:

5. Quality of the Management Plan. (10 points)

The secretary considers the quality of the management plans of the proposed project.

In determining the quality of the management plan of a proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors-

(A) The adequacy of the management plan to achieve the objectives of the project, including the specification of staff responsibility, time lines, and benchmarks for accomplishing project objectives.

(B) Whether time commitments of the project director or principal investigator and other key personnel are appropriate and adequate to meet project objectives.

(C) How the applicant will ensure persons who are otherwise eligible to participate in the project are selected without regard to race, color, national origin, gender, age or disability.

STRENGTHS:

Maximum points 10

(excellent) 9-10

(good) 7-8

(fair) 6-7

(poor) 1-5

(missing) 0

SCORE _____

WEAKNESSES:

SUMMARY SHEET

OVERALL COMMENTS: Comments should support your recommendation.

Please describe strengths and weaknesses. Include suggestions to improve the project in future submissions.